

- Statement of open mind at first meeting, and have remained that way. I must admit that I take offense to being referred to as a “fickle” resident that may be gone in 5 years. A vast majority of owner occupied homes have residents that either have been here for years, or intend to do so.
- Meetings (group and sub-group civil and energetic)
 - Using established interests as a guide, the sub-groups went down parallel paths with ideas and solutions.
- Last meeting we were told that:
 - Most all aspects of those parallel proposals are not legal
 - The College even if willing can’t legally divulge student addresses.
 - There is no city definition of a bedroom.
 - We will have no better enforcement tools that we currently have.
- While I applaud and support the newly proposed Student Assembly “off campus student association” program, I realize that it is voluntary, and could end as easily as it began.
- In some of the comments we have received, there are “problem areas”.
- Given those facts, I see no ^{DESIRE}benefit to the home-owners wanting to change anything.
- The only safe-guards we have are zoning, and the definition of family ordinance.

With that being said, I would like to throw the following proposal out on the table as a framework to start: I propose we leave the current 3 person-rule in place, but add the following provision- a rental property owner can apply for a SUP to increase the number of unrelated persons living in a single family home to a maximum of 5. I further propose that the SUP increase of 4 be accomplished administratively only, and the increase to 5 be accomplished through the normal planning commission approval process.

I would like to finish by saying that I envision this final proposal to include:

- Minimum square footage considerations, as well as all other existing ordinances.
- A definition of what constitutes a bedroom.
- SUP has a term limit.
- A building official inspection prior to SUP approval to determine if the home has adequate infrastructure to support the number of proposed occupants.
- Finally, I only see this as a short term solution. Long term solutions rest squarely on the College and the city, and include more on-campus dorms, zoning changes to “cluster” off-campus student housing, better enforcement practices, and initiatives to return neighborhoods to a higher level of owner occupied homes.

We have been down a long road, and we are running out of time. If all of you feel that at least you can live with my proposed framework, then we can spend this week and next week filling in the details.